Date: ____ March 1, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V. Porfirio Aguinaga-Uribe Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00044-RJJ	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, lefendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.		life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
、 /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa		
√ (1)	Alternative Findin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community	
(2)	Part II – Statement of the Reas		
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing	
Defer	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released indant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	n any case.	
	Part III – Directions Regard	_	
correction appeal. States Co	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awa The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to copurt or on request of an attorney for the Government, the personate to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	iting or serving sentences or held in custody pending nsult privately with defense counsel. On order of United	

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge